Heat Treatment Inhibits Mango Chilling Injury
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Abstract. ‘Keitt’ mango (Mangifera indica L.) were kept at 38°C for 0, 24, or 48 hours before storage at 5°C for 11 days. Nonheated fruit developed severe rind pitting and discoloration, whereas chilling injury symptoms decreased with increased duration at 38°C. Respiratory rates were slightly higher in nonheated than in heated fruit. Nonheated fruit produced a transient burst of ethylene evolution following transfer to 21°C; heated fruit did not produce a similar burst. Firmness was similar in nonheated and heated fruit at the time of transfer to 21°C for ripening, but was slightly higher in nonheated fruit after 3 and 6 days of ripening. Soluble solids concentration was higher in heated than in nonheated fruit at the time of transfer to 21°C, but was similar after 9 days at 21°C. Commission Internationale de l’Eclairage \( a^* \) and \( b^* \) flesh values were higher in heated than in nonheated fruit. Results of this study indicate that mango tolerance to chilling temperatures may increase after prestorage heat treatment.

Mangos are a tropical crop subject to chilling injury (CI) when stored below 10°C (Couey, 1986; Hatton et al., 1965). CI symptoms in mangos include rind discoloration, pitting, uneven ripening, poor color and flavor, and increased susceptibility to decay (Hatton et al., 1965; Kane et al., 1982; Medlicott et al., 1990). Mango tolerance to chilling changes during ripening (Medlicott et al., 1990) and is influenced by ripening temperature (Thomas and Joshi, 1988). Due to mango sensitivity to CI, mangos cannot be stored at low temperatures and, consequently, have a short postharvest life. Recently, high-temperature (36 to 40°C) treatments have increased the chilling tolerance of chilling-sensitive tissues (Klein and Lurie 1991; Laffuente et al., 1991; Lurie and Klein, 1991). Tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.) fruit kept at 36 to 40°C for 3 days did not develop CI and ripened normally following storage at 2°C for 3 weeks (Lurie and Klein, 1991). Hirose (1985) reported that prestorage heating at 36 to 40°C for 24 h increased cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) tolerance to chilling temperatures. Increasing the tolerance of chilling-sensitive commodities would be desirable for several reasons:

- Mixed storage of commodities with horticultural requirements may become possible.
- Low-temperature insect disinfection treatments could be used with less danger of CI (Klein and Lurie, 1991).

The objective of our study was to determine if high-temperature treatment would improve mango fruit chilling tolerance. Mature ‘Keitt’ mango fruit were obtained at harvest from a commercial packinghouse in Dade County, Fla. The fruit were transported in an air-conditioned van to the laboratory in Orlando, Fla. On arrival, the fruit were numbered, weighed, and sorted into five groups of 50. One group was placed immediately in storage at 5°C. Two other groups were placed in a room maintained at 38°C, relative humidity (RH) >90%. Fruit were removed from the 38°C room after 24 or 48 h, weighed, and placed at 5°C, 85% to 90% RH. Fruit were transferred to 21°C after 11 days at 5°C. At the time of transfer to 21°C, the fruit were weighed and rated visually for CI using a scale of 0 to 4; 0 indicated no injury and 4 indicated severe injury (discoloration and rind pitting). The fruit were rated for CI again after 48 h at 21°C.

Five fruit from each treatment were selected at random from each treatment for destructive quality analyses. Flesh color was measured on two pared cheeks of each fruit using a Minolta CR-200 chromometer (Minolta, Osaka, Japan) using the Commission Internationale de l’Eclairage (CIE) (\( L^* \), \( a^* \), and \( b^* \)) color scale. Flesh firmness was measured using a testing instrument (Instron Universal, Canton, Mass.) equipped with a 1.1-cm-diameter probe; three measurements were made on each fruit cheek. Total soluble solids concentration (SSC) in a sample of juice squeezed from the pulp was measured using an Abbe (A.O. Scientific Instruments, Keene, N.H.) refractometer. A representative pulp sample was frozen and stored at -20°C to measure pH and titratable acidity.

Nonheated mango fruit stored at 5°C for 11 days developed severe CI (Table 1), which was manifested as rind discoloration and pitting. Heating significantly reduced CI.

Carbon dioxide evolution rates from mango fruit were lowest at the time of transfer to 21°C, increased in a typical climacteric pattern, and then decreased (Fig. 1, top). Carbon dioxide evolution rates were similar to those previously reported for mangos (Burg and Burg, 1993).

### Table 1. Effects of prestorage heat treatment on mango chilling injury ratings after 11 days at 5°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chilling injury</th>
<th>Hours at 38°C</th>
<th>Hours at 21°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Linear** ***Quadratic***

Chilling injury was rated on a scale of 0 to 4, where 0 = none, 1 = trace, 2 = slight, 3 = moderate, and 4 = severe.

Table values are means of 40 fruit.

**Significant at \( P = 0.01 \) or 0.001, respectively.

Fig. 1. Effects of heat treatment before storage at 5°C on respiration rate (top) and ethylene evolution (bottom) from mango fruit at 21°C following storage at 5°C for 11 days. (○) Control (nonheated); (●) heated at 38°C for 24 h; (△) heated at 38°C for 48 h.
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Carbon dioxide evolution pattern was similar in nonheated and heated fruit. When carbon dioxide production rate was compared based on the number of days after harvest, the rate was higher in nonheated than heated fruit. However, if respiratory rate was compared based on time after transfer to 21C, the rates for nonheated and heated fruit were similar. Heat treatment reduced respiratory rates of chilled tomato (Lurie and Klein, 1991) and cucumber (Hirose, 1985).

In mangos, heat treatment apparently affected the timing, but not the magnitude of the respiratory climacteric.

Burg and Burg (1962) reported that ethylene rises when or before carbon dioxide production rises in ripening mangos, whereas Biale and Young (1981) included mango among the fruit in which ethylene rises after carbon dioxide rises. In the present study, nonheated fruit had a transient burst of ethylene evolution during the first 24 h after transfer to 21C, but this burst did not occur in the heated fruit (Fig. 1, bottom). Ethylene evolution from nonheated fruit peaked 8 days after transfer to 21C. Although heated fruit had a slight increase in ethylene evolution after 8 days at 21C, the magnitude of the increase was about one-third that of the nonheated fruit. Ethylene evolution is inhibited at high temperatures but may exceed normal levels if fruit are transferred to a nonstressing temperature (Biggs et al., 1988; Lurie and Klein, 1991; Paull and Chen, 1990). Ethylene evolution typically is stimulated in chilling-sensitive commodities that have been stored at chilling temperatures for a short period after they are transferred to a nonchilling temperature; ethylene evolution is inhibited in fruit exposed to chilling temperatures for a long period (Field, 1990). Heat-treated tomato had higher ethylene evolution rates following storage for 3 weeks at 21C than nonheated controls (Lurie and Klein, 1991). In mango, the burst of ethylene produced by nonheated fruit the first 24 h after transfer to 21 C was not detected in heated fruit. This result may indicate that the heat treatment effectively inhibited chilling-induced ethylene production.

Flesh firmness of control and heated mangos did not differ significantly at the time of transfer from 5 to 21C (0 days, Table 2). All fruit softened extensively during the first 3 days at 21C. Following 3 and 6 days at 21C, heated fruit were significantly softer than control fruit. Fruit firmness did not differ significantly among treatments after 9 days at 21C. Medlicott et al. (1990) reported that storing mangos at low temperatures reduced fruit softening. In the present study, heated fruit softened slightly faster than nonheated fruit. This result indicates that heat treatment may lessen the effect of chilling on softening.

Total SSC was higher in heated than in control fruit at the time of transfer to 21 C and during the first 6 days of ripening; after 9 days of ripening, total SSC did not differ significantly among treatments (Table 2). SSC in all treatments was similar to that previously reported for ‘Keitt’ mangos from Florida by Spalding et al. (1988), but lower than that reported by Vazquez-Salinas and Lakshminarayana (1985). Storing mangos at low temperatures decreased total SSC in ripe fruit (Krishnamurthy and Joshi, 1989).

At the time of transfer to 21C, titratable acidity of mango fruit flesh was significantly lower in nonheated than heated fruit (Table 2). However, titratable acidity of all fruit decreased during ripening and was significantly lower in heated than nonheated fruit, but only after 9 days. Chilled mangos were more acidic than nonchilled mangos (Veloz et al., 1977), and heat-treating apples reduced fruit acidity (Lurie and Klein, 1990).

CIE a* and b* values of mango fruit flesh were influenced significantly by heat treatment (Table 3). Red (a* value) and yellow (b* value) increased during fruit ripening in all treatments. However, mangos heated for 48 h had consistently higher a* and b* values than control fruit. This result indicates that more color developed in heated than in control fruit.

The most pronounced effect of high-temperature treatment on mango chilling tolerance in this study was reduced rind pitting and discoloration. This reduction was apparent at the time of transfer from 5 to 21C and persisted during ripening. Although CI symptoms on the rind were severe, nonheated and heated fruit ripened in a normal pattern, as indicated by changes in firmness, color, and composition. Our results indicate that heat treatment may improve the tolerance of mangos to chilling temperatures.

### Table 2. Effects of prestorage heat treatment on flesh firmness, total soluble solids concentration (SSC), and titratable acidity of mangos after removing them from storage (11 days at 5C) and during ripening at 21C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hours at 21C</th>
<th>Days at 21C</th>
<th>Flesh firmness (%)</th>
<th>Titratable acidity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Effects of prestorage heat treatment on flesh color of mangos after removing them from storage (11 days at 5C) and during ripening at 21C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hours at 21C</th>
<th>Days at 21C</th>
<th>a* Value</th>
<th>b* Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–3.81</td>
<td>44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>–2.98</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>–2.20</td>
<td>48.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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