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Integrating existing knowledge between seed and bud dormancy, endodormancy and cold acclimation, and research approaches to dormancy (e.g., hormones and bioregulators, molecular genetics, signal transduction, and agroclimatological modeling) is difficult. This is partly because the broad scope and expertise that is required for such integration is rarely fostered in today’s technologically advanced, highly specialized research programs. Although our current concept of dormancy involves increasingly complex physiology and lacks much unifying data, a periodic attempt to integrate between and among research areas or approaches may identify some of the links requisite for continued advancement. I will attempt to illuminate this problem and some of the potential links, such as the association of dormancy with plant stress, hormones, and biophysical properties of tissue hydration. Integrating future segments of the dormancy puzzle may depend strongly on the development of a molecular information base.

Dormancy in woody perennials involves an interrelated series of phenomena regulated by internal and external factors (Dennis, 1994; Lang, 1989; Martin, 1991; Romberger, 1963; Samish, 1954). Dormancy regulation in a specific bud, for example, may be associated initially with distant apices or subducing leaves (paradormancy, probably mediated via a hormone-type signal). Later in ontogeny, regulation may reside solely within the bud and respond to specific combinations of low and moderate temperatures or photoperiod (endodormancy, mediated via unknown biochemical transducing signals). Thus, regulation is controlled by environmental inputs and
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the developmental state of the dormant meristem and associated tissues and is manifested by a complex of poorly understood processes. Hormonal involvement, hormonal receptors, photoreceptors such as phytochrome, membrane phase changes, synthesis or activation of process-specific enzymes and those involved in general metabolism, and associations with cold- or desiccation-stress physiology complicate dormancy research.

The rapid advances in technology during the past decade may help develop new insight into the dormancy puzzle. Enzyme-linked immunosassays, molecular biology, genetic mapping and recombinant gene expression, biotechnology, nuclear magnetic resonance imaging, fourier-transformation near-infrared spectroscopy, differential scanning calorimetry, and other technological achievements have greatly increased our ability to probe certain long-standing plant science questions, including dormancy. As new experimental evidence accumulates, the potential for integrating various complementary data should increase. Successful integration, however, requires a commitment to multidisciplinary collaboration and collection of what may seem initially to be unrelated results from differing experimental systems. As networks of physiological processes are constructed, hypotheses and models will become progressively more complex, as have agroclimatological models for predicting budbreak (del Real Laborde et al., 1989; NeSmith and Bridges, 1992). The ultimate integration will occur when physiological and climatological models coalesce, becoming fully interactive and scientifically tenable.

Integration requires a universal foundation on which complex plant and environmental interactions pertinent to the dormancy puzzle can be linked. Such large-scale informational links will probably be based in molecular biology, as knowledge of common biological processes and homologous or highly conserved genetic systems increases. Horticultural scientists, who perhaps more than any other plant researchers, have integrated basic and applied sciences, must apply molecular studies to dormancy complexities. Molecular studies in seed dormancy and cold acclimation, including the molecular geneticists’ model plant, Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh., have been underway since the early 1980s. In addition, such studies have recently been extended to include such complex horticultural plant systems as blueberry (Vaccinium corymbosum L.) and peach (Prunus persica L.) Batsch.] (Lang and Tao, 1990, 1991) and poplar (Populus deltoides Bart. ex Marsh.) (Coleman et al., 1991, 1992). The U.S. Dept. of Agriculture plant genome research program has specifically targeted a number of horticultural crops, including apple (Malus domestica Borkh.), citrus, peach, and strawberry (Fragaria xananasasa Duchesne), to map genes (or gene systems) for important traits and identify regulatory elements that control gene expression.

I will briefly compare molecular techniques with classic hormonal approaches to studying dormancy. I also will contrast prevailing paradigms with new approaches to studying dormancy. The subsequent section will review briefly a selection of molecular studies in hormone and stress physiology, followed by a discussion of molecular studies in seed and bud dormancy. The final section will discuss recent advances in nonmolecular and biophysical approaches that may broaden our ability to integrate the conceptual and informational links involved in dormancy.

**HOMOLOGY OF MOLECULAR AND HORMONAL RESEARCH TECHNIQUES**

The classic approach to hormone research begins by extracting plant tissues after appropriate treatments. Physiologically active substances are separated and correlative changes in relation to observed physiological events are determined. Specific chemical structures may be characterized, and whether the isolated substance is synthesized de novo or activated from an inactive form may be determined. Synthesis or activity inhibitors, and in vitro synthesis to increase the amount of the substance available for studies may be used. Localization of endogenous synthesis or binding in tissues or cells may be determined. Thus, a wealth of correlative hormonal data is succeeded by addition and subtraction experiments to establish more direct evidence of physiological roles in development.

In a broad sense, some molecular approaches to physiological research are similar to classic hormone research approaches—the new technology simply refocuses and expands our research options. For example, investigating the relationship between environmental cues and dormancy on a molecular level may initially focus on extracting, separating, and detecting changes in gene products (proteins and mRNAs) at specific dormancy stages or after appropriate treatments. Given sensitive detection techniques such as one- and two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, alterations in the plethora of gene products will almost certainly be found. For example, Lin et al. (1991) reported that 97 proteins extracted from Pyrus seeds changed during stratification and germination. The key to such correlative research, as with hormone research, is to demonstrate a role for specific gene products produced during dormancy. This goal is realized via experimental manipulation and advanced molecular technologies, such as synthesizing radiolabeled proteins via in vitro mRNA translation, developing cDNA clones, inhibiting gene expression by antisense RNA, isolating gene promoter regions, and fusing genes to other promoters to control inducibility or quantitative changes in expression.

Compared with hormonal research, the potential of molecular research lies in uncovering many more steps in the transduction pathway from environmental signal to horticultural response. Rather than the five classes of hormones, there may be dozens of critical genes (and even more of peripheral interest) that operate during a developmental stage such as dormancy. In other words, molecular studies can link phenomena that seem to be unrelated or separate processes that seem to be a single phenomenon. For example, Liscum and Hangarter (1991) found that the influence of light on hypocotyl elongation was a function of two genetically separable processes. Far-red light exerted an influence via the phytochrome system and blue light exerted an influence via a probable flavin-like chromophore system. New research techniques allow unique discoveries, escape from the inertia of dogma, and, ultimately, new knowledge.

The potential afforded by molecular approaches is especially important if plants have redundant (possibly vestigial) control mechanisms for dormancy induction, maintenance, and release. Judging from the variety of factors that have been associated with dormancy induction or release (Erez, 1987; Lang, 1989), the concept that many regulatory mechanisms may exist, with certain factors being more prevalent and powerful (i.e., primary or obligate) than others (i.e., secondary or facultative), is increasingly attractive. For example, coincident dormancy induction factors (or inputs) might be ranked hypothetically in terms of influence: decreasing photoperiod > decreasing temperature > declining resource levels. Similarly, as the French school purports (Dennis, 1994), the dormant state of a bud may be influenced by existing environmental conditions and growth regulators (current inputs) and previous growing conditions that may have modulated the genetic switches that respond to resource- and receptor-based inputs. The physiological transduction of each input signal may be identifiable via changes in specific, unique gene expression. Characterizing such gene expression may help differentiate between regulators vs. modulators of various developmental stages.

**MOLECULAR TECHNIQUES**

Molecular techniques in hormone research

Reid (1990) and Klee and Estelle (1991) reviewed recent molecular approaches to study the physiological role of hormones in developmental processes, the biochemistry of hormone synthesis and action, and the isolation and characterization of genes involved in hormone-mediated phenomena. Skriver and Mundy (1990) speculated that “different patterns of expression of (hormone)-responsive genes are due to their different hierarchies of hormonal, developmental, and spatial control elements.” This suggests that, in hormonal research, target genes, not target cells, should be studied.

Skriver and Mundy (1990) summarized information on 24 abscisic acid (ABA)-responsive (rab) genes that have been isolated from 11 species. The functions and organ specificities for most of the genes are still being deduced, although many are involved in osmotic stress responses, such as drought, salt, desiccation, cold,
and heat tolerance. ABA-inducible genes, primarily for *leu* (late embryogenesis abundant) proteins, have been studied in seed systems of diverse field crops (Baker et al., 1988; Close et al., 1989; Harada et al., 1989; Litts et al., 1987; Mundy and Chua, 1988). Hein et al. (1990) used an ABA-deficient *Arabidopsis* mutant to demonstrate an ABA requirement in cold acclimation. Some regulatory genes [e.g., the *vpl* gene that regulates seed vivipary in maize (*Zea mays* L.)] can also reduce tissue sensitivity to hormones such as ABA (McCarty et al., 1989). Hetherington and Quatrano (1991) suggest that “a general role for ABA may be to prepare tissue for entry into a new and different physiological state, perhaps by resetting the direction of cellular mechanisms.” The mechanism of such action may be via an effect on subordinate regulatory genes—e.g., genes coding for ABA receptors or other components of signal-transduction pathways.

Jacobsen and Close (1991) studied the gene promoters that are induced by gibberellic acid (GA) and inhibited by ABA in the multi-gene family for alpha-amylose. By analyzing promoter fragments, they have identified the location of GA- and ABA-responsive elements from one of the genes. Godoy et al. (1990) characterized a tomato cDNA that is inducible by ABA or salt stress but not by cold or wounding. Characterization of ABA-responsive DNA elements in gene promoter regions (Marcotte et al., 1989; Mundy et al., 1990) eventually will permit transfer of ABA responsiveness to reporter genes. This approach also may permit screening of genomic libraries with DNA probes to discover other cDNA clones encoding ABA-regulated proteins.

Van der Zaal et al. (1991) found that marker-gene expression can be controlled by auxin-inducible promoters from tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) and that the differential expression of auxin-inducible genes may reflect differences in minimum auxin levels required for induction (van der Zaal et al., 1987). Bacterial genes for indoleacetic acid (IAA) biosynthesis have been constructed with heat-shock promoter regions to control IAA production in transgenic tissues with heat treatment (Kares et al., 1990). The transformed tissues produced more IAA with heat and formed more roots. Controlling endogenous hormone production, relative levels, or even sensitivities by transgenic plant construction can help separate causes from effects. For example, Medford et al. (1989) and Smigocki (1991) demonstrated controlled induction of a gene for cytokinin synthesis (isopentenyl transferase) in transformed tissue by fusing it to a heat-shock promoter. Heat-treated tissue produced up to 200-fold more zeatin and zeatin-riboside and exhibited morphological changes, such as promoter. Heat-treated tissue produced up to 200-fold more zeatin transferase (IAA biosynthesis) in transformed tissue by fusing it to a heat-shock promoter region in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.). These proteins are present in nonacclimated tissues, but exposure to low temperatures increases their abundance, and return to moderate temperatures leads to their decline. CAP85 seems to contain sequences that have high homology to the *lea* class of seed proteins that are associated with desiccation tolerance and ABA or water stress induction. Guy et al. (1992) notes that the characteristics of *lea* class proteins also may be important for tolerance to freezing stress, which is largely a desiccation stress imposed on cells by extracellular ice formation. CAP160 and CAP85 are induced rapidly by desiccation, resulting in increased freezing tolerance. Tolerance remained even after turpudity was restored by rewatering, a result suggesting a direct molecular link between freezing tolerance, desiccation, and cold acclimation. Discovering the importance of these processes, which often coincide with endodormancy in perennial tissues, is a challenge for integrated dormancy research.

Similarly, Korkel and Franck (1990) used *Arabidopsis* to identify and clone *kin1*, a gene that is activated by exposure to 4C temperatures, osmotic stress, and ABA. They postulated that the gene codes for a low-molecular-weight (mw), hydrophilic protein that may protect tissues at low temperatures. Lee et al. (1991) examined translatable mRNA populations in bronegrass (*Bromus inermis* Leyss.) cell-suspension cultures after cold acclimation at 4C or ABA treatment. Low temperatures induced fewer novel in vitro translation products than ABA, which induced 29 changes relative to controls. Three translation products were similar for cold acclimation and ABA treatment. This result illustrates that treatment with ABA (and other hormones) may activate many genes, some of which may also be induced by other factors. Only part of these responses may directly affect dormancy.

Few molecular studies have been conducted on cold acclimation in temperate-zone, woody, perennial fruit crops. Ketchie and Kammereck (1990) examined xylem proteins in cold-hardy and cold-susceptible apple trees. They found seven proteins that changed during acclimation, two of which (39 and 46 kD) varied differentially between the cultivars and one of which (22 kD) was present only in the cold-hardy cultivar. Similarly, Arora et al. (1992) examined changes in peach xylem and bark polypeptides associated with increases in cold acclimation. During the dormant period (November to March), five (14, 16, 28, 33, and 40 kD) proteins increased in the bark and one (78 kD) increased in the xylem; all decreased from April to June. They speculated that the lower-mw polypeptides may be storage proteins and that a 100-kD protein in bark and xylem may be associated with a plasma-membrane ATPase. Mattheis and Ketchie (1990) reported that increased plasma-membrane ATPase activity is induced by low temperatures. The specific relationships of these protein changes to cold hardiness, storage proteins, ATPase activity, and ABA levels have yet to be determined.

Molecular links between cold acclimation and other plant stress responses

Molecular studies of plant stress have already led to some apparent genetic links between phenomena. Christie et al. (1991) studied the cold-induced expression of a wide range of specialized genes, including stress-related (e.g., cold acclimation) and other (e.g., anthocyanin biosynthesis) genes. mRNA levels for alcohol dehydrogenase-1 (*Adh1*) increase rapidly with exposure to low temperatures and decrease within 24 h after transfer to moderate temperatures. Transcriptional activation of *Adh1* also occurs during anaerobic stress (Rowland et al., 1989). Genes for pyruvate decarboxylase, aldolase, and sucrose synthase are expressed similarly and share a common regulatory element that responds to anaerobiosis, which Christie et al. (1991) suggest may be important for a coordinated molecular response to O2 deprivation. Cold-induced *Adh1* activity is distinct from that of anaerobiosis-induced *Adh1* activity, a result suggesting that *Adh1* genes may have different regulatory or promoter regions. If both promoters are present on the same gene, environmental cues may switch promoter activity between the two. Of interest to dormancy research is that anaerobic conditions have been postulated and experimentally associated with breaking bud dormancy (Erez et al., 1980; Samish, 1954).

Guy (1990b) has identified and attempted to sequence several cold-acclimation proteins (CAP79, CAP85, CAP160) from spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.). These proteins are present in nonacclimated tissues, but exposure to low temperatures increases their abundance, and return to moderate temperatures leads to their decline. CAP85 seems to contain sequences that have high homology to the *lea* class of seed proteins that are associated with desiccation tolerance and ABA or water stress induction. Guy et al. (1992) notes that the characteristics of *lea* class proteins also may be important for tolerance to freezing stress, which is largely a desiccation stress imposed on cells by extracellular ice formation. CAP160 and CAP85 are induced rapidly by desiccation, resulting in increased freezing tolerance. Tolerance remained even after turpudity was restored by rewatering, a result suggesting a direct molecular link between freezing tolerance, desiccation, and cold acclimation. Discovering the importance of these processes, which often coincide with endodormancy in perennial tissues, is a challenge for integrated dormancy research.

Molecular aspects of seed dormancy

ABA’s role in dormancy induction and maintenance was equivocal a decade ago (Walton, 1980), but progress has been made in seed
dormancy studies (Zeevaart and Creelman, 1988). Much of this progress has been the result of using molecular genetics and genetic mutants. Although the earliest work used Arabidopsis, other seed systems from herbaceous and woody plants are being used increasingly.

Karsen et al. (1983) demonstrated that seed dormancy was more closely associated with ABA content in the embryo than in maternal tissue. Koornneef et al. (1984) showed that ABA-insensitive Arabidopsis mutants also exhibited reduced seed dormancy relative to sensitive types. ABA has inhibited the transcription of some GA-responsive genes (Morris et al., 1991), a result suggesting that the ABA–GA balance may influence seed dormancy and germination. At the least, evidence that GA regulates germination (Karsen et al., 1989) and that ABA induces seed dormancy (Karsen and Lacka, 1986) is accumulating. Likewise, mutants that were insensitive to ABA produced nondormant seeds (Karsen et al., 1983). Corbineau et al. (1991) found that ABA inhibited oat (Avena sativa L.) seed germination and induced protein synthesis only when present continuously, results similar to those with ABA-inducible protein synthesis in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) (Reid and Walker-Simmons, 1990) and castor bean (Ricinus communis L.) (Dommes and Northcote, 1985) seeds.

Insensitivity to ABA in maize mutants results in the development of nondormant (viviparous) seed (McCarty et al., 1989). The gene involved in this mutation, vpl, controls many developmental responses, including seed germination, anthocyanin synthesis, and ABA-sensitivity. The authors suggested that the vpl gene product functions to potentiate multiple-signal transduction pathways and that vpl is a common component of several such pathways. Eight other genes that control vivipary also affect ABA levels and carotenoid synthesis (whereas vpl affects sensitivity).

Although seed dormancy induction seems to be regulated by ABA, evidence that ABA maintains seed dormancy is more tenuous. Walker-Simmons (1987) found similar ABA levels in dormant and nondormant wheat embryos, although dormant embryos were more responsive to ABA and exhibited active and prolonged synthesis of ABA-inducible proteins when imbibed (Reid and Walker-Simmons, 1990). Morris et al. (1991) examined the hypothesis that specific genes, some of which are ABA-responsive, control dormancy in hydrated wheat seeds. Embryonic axes germinated readily in the absence of the caryopsis, a result indicating an imposed dormancy (analogous to paradormancy in buds) that was also manifested in the presence of exogenous ABA. ABA-responsive mRNAs from five gene families declined in nondormant hydrated seeds but were abundant in hydrated dormant embryos. Two of the gene transcripts increased during the developmental seed desiccation stage. These were characterized as very hydrophilic, a result suggesting that they may sequester water and restrict germination. Thus, Morris et al. (1991) concluded that some ABA-responsive gene expression is prolonged in imbibed, dormant seeds.

Fewer studies exist on seeds of temperate-zone tree species. Mahhou and Dennis (1993) examined polypeptide profiles in aqueous extracts from peach seed cotyledons or embryonic axes during cold (5C) and warm (20C) stratification. After 5 weeks of cold stratification, the abundance of nine cotyledon polypeptides decreased and novel, low-mw proteins appeared concomitant with a significant increase in ability to germinate. However, these changes also occurred, albeit more slowly, in seeds that were partially imbibed and unable to germinate. No profile changes were found in warm-stratified cotyledons, embryonic axes (regardless of temperature), or seeds in which dormancy was broken by KGA, The authors concluded that the polypeptide changes were related to low-temperature responses other than dormancy alleviation, since GA broke dormancy without altering protein content. Indicative of the need for further investigation, others have found a decrease in several sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-soluble peach embryo (vs. cotyledon) polypeptides concomitant with breaking seed dormancy (G.A. Lang, unpublished data).

Hance and Bevington (1991) also found many changes in the relative abundance of Acer saccharum L. seed proteins during stratification. In general, chilling at 4C increased the capacity for protein synthesis in the embryonic axis and cotyledons within 11 days (germination occurred after 27 days of stratification). Moderate-temperature stratification (15C) induced expression of two proteins that were absent in seeds that were unstratified or stratified at 4C. Whereas GA did not alter peach seed proteins (Mahhou and Dennis, 1993), Lin et al. (1991) reported that changes in pear (Pyrus serotina Rehd.) seed proteins were similar when dormancy was broken by stratification or thidiazuron (TDZ). The relationship of such changes in temperate-zone tree seed proteins to gene expression and dormancy regulation remains to be determined.

Molecular aspects of bud dormancy

Nearly 30 years ago, Tuan and Bonner (1964) suggested that “if we view the problem of dormancy within the framework of molecular biology, a hypothesis immediately suggests itself, namely, that in the dormant cell, the genetic material is completely, or nearly completely, repressed.” Their experimental data led them to conclude that the genome of potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) tuber buds is largely repressed during dormancy, that such repression may cause dormancy, and that breaking dormancy is associated with derepressed gene expression. Until the past few years, there has been little other molecular research conducted on bud dormancy, although the changes in various metabolic processes and temperature optima for accumulating chilling units (CUs) during dormancy suggest that an alternative hypothesis is in order. Considering the diversity and heritability of quantitative CU requirements for dormancy alleviation in woody perennials, the search for specific genes associated with developmental sequences of bud dormancy (i.e., induction, maintenance, release) should be made a research priority (Lang, 1989).

Molecular research on processes associated with dormancy induction in woody perennials has focused on studies with several species of poplar (e.g., P. deltoides, P. trichocarpa Hook.). Coleman et al. (1991) and Langeheinrich and Tischner (1991) isolated photoperiod-inducible bark storage proteins (BSPs), the accumulation of which coincides with the general time of year during which endodormancy begins. These live and pease in winter, disappear in summer, and are found in bark, wood, and root tissues. Although BSPs are present at low levels under long days, exposure to short days (8 h) increases their translatable mRNA within a week (Coleman et al., 1992). Having isolated and sequenced a full-length DNA for a 32-kDa BSP, Coleman et al. (1992) believe it to be encoded by a family of about five genes. While the genes for such BSPs are not likely to regulate endodormancy directly, they may exist along parallel pathways with regulatory genes in the network of dormancy-associated gene expression. Precise manipulative experiments, such as transgenic plants with antisense RNA, may help determine the extent to which BSP expression can be correlated with endodormancy or cold acclimation.

Molecular research on bud dormancy release has focused on identifying biochemical markers, such as changes in polypeptides, associated with changes in endodormancy intensity during CU accumulation. Lang and Tao (1990, 1991) used blueberry plants in controlled-environment chambers and peach trees grown under natural conditions to examine changes in SDS-soluble polypeptide profiles. The major change in blueberry floral buds during chilling at 5C was an increase in polypeptides of ≈59 and 65 kDa after 1100 CUs; similar changes occur in other blueberry cultivars and species (Muthalif and Rowland, 1993). These changes coincided with the breaking of dormancy, as measured by an increase in budbreak from 18% to 96% under forcing conditions (21 days at 25C) (Lang and Tao, 1990).

During CU accumulation in peach floral buds, the most striking change was a major polypeptide of ≈61 kDa (Lang and Tao, 1990), which has also been found in nectarine and plum (Prunus salicina L.) cultivars (G.A. Lang, unpublished data). The relative abundance of this polypeptide, which is present at high levels in winter and low levels in summer, decreased consistently during the final 100 CUs accumulated for cultivars with low, moderate, and high chilling requirements, concomitant with increased budbreak at 25C (Lang and Tao, 1990, 1991). A similar-mw protein has also been reported in other peach cultivars (Arora et al., 1992). The 61-kDa protein has been found in varying proportions of the total SDS-soluble protein in vegetative buds, phloem, and xylem and in much lower quantities in leaf petioles and seeds (G.A. Lang, unpublished data).
Lang and Tao (1991) also examined the temperature dependence of changes in the 61-kDa polypeptide relative to climatological models of CU accumulation (Richardson et al., 1974). At CU-promotive (5°C), neutral (15°C), and negating (24°C) temperatures, changes in abundance of the 61-kDa polypeptide seemed to be specific to dormancy stage. During early endodormancy (at 30% of the CU requirement, early December), abundance increased by 25% at 5°C, was unchanged at 15°C, and decreased by 20% at 24°C, much as a climatological model might predict. However, the temperatures that promoted a decrease in abundance decreased as dormancy progressed; near the transition from late endodormancy to ecodormancy (90% of the CU requirement, early February), the 61-kDa polypeptide level decreased by 20% at 5°C, 40% at 15°C, and nearly 60% at 24°C. This result suggests that the temperature-regulated abundance of the polypeptide is associated with dormancy stage (as indexed by CU accumulation) and is probably not related to cold deacclimation. More recent studies have demonstrated that GA and hydrogen cyanamide decrease the abundance of the 61-kDa polypeptide similarly to temperature (G.A. Lang, unpublished data). Furthermore, Arora et al. (1993) have reported cross-reactivity of a similar-mw peach polypeptide to dehydrin-type protein antibodies. Further studies are needed to help identify the role of such polypeptides during peach endodormancy and identify the expression of regulatory genes that may precede these marker polypeptide changes.

Molecular inquiries into bud dormancy have just begun to address the myriad of appropriate questions. Is continuous expression of certain genes responsible for bud dormancy? Do repressed genes become active as the CU requirement is met? What is the balance between, and effect of temperature on, expression and repression of genes associated with dormancy as dormancy intensity changes? As endodormancy progresses, does the apparent shift in CU temperature optima indicate a network of temperature-responsive isozymes encoded by dormancy genes? How might the hierarchy of dormancy regulatory inputs be genetically engineered to benefit agriculture?

Bud dormancy in European beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) is regulated, at least late in endodormancy, by chilling temperatures and photoperiodic (Fig. 1); a chilling threshold may be required to attain photoperiodic responsiveness, and chilling above the threshold reduces the time required to respond to photoperiod. If a photoperiod-inducible gene promoter region is identified (Coleman et al., 1992), it might provide an experimental tool to understand dormancy initiation or maintenance under short days and serve as a potential on and off genetic switch for manipulating chimeric genes associated with dormancy or hardiness. Such photoperiodic molecular tools may eventually lead to transgenic fruit crops that begin cold acclimation soon after the fall equinox, regardless of temperature. Likewise, endodormancy could be prolonged until after the spring equinox, reducing crop damage due to spring frosts, which currently plague growers in the southeastern United States almost every other year.

NONMOLECULAR AND BIOPHYSICAL TECHNIQUES

Future research will involve gene expression and the biophysical aspects of the environment on molecular physiology. Biochemical and physical membrane restructuring usually occurs with exposure to low temperatures (Lynch, 1990; Steponkus, 1984, 1990). Membrane lipid biosynthetic enzymes are thought to be prime targets for low-temperature regulation of gene expression, as are glutathione reductase and dehydroascorbate reductase (Guy, 1990a). Some lea class proteins associated with seed maturation and desiccation tolerance (Blackman et al., 1991) may act directly by protecting intracellular components during desiccation or by affecting the tissue’s water-binding characteristics. The links between temperature, alterations in membrane components, gene expression and enzyme activation, and biophysical effects on plant tissues are likely to comprise an interdependent network. These eventual links may be vital to understanding dormancy in seeds and buds.

Enzymology and membrane function

Although many enzymes have been studied with respect to dor-
mancy, little is known regarding their roles or interactions with other observed changes. Alterations in enzyme activities, isozymes, and membrane components, such as sterols and lipids, that accompany budbreak have yet to be clearly separated into mechanisms or consequences of budbreak. Although little is known about gene expression during bud dormancy, some of the many genes expressed with breaking dormancy clearly alter enzyme activity or form. Wang et al. (1991a) studied changes in activities and isozymes of catalase (CAT), peroxidase (POD), and polyphenol oxidase (PPO) concomitant with TDZ-induced budbreak of paradormant apple. CAT activity and the number of isozymes increased after treatment. POD activity was highest during dormancy, although it increased transiently after TDZ application. Although the predominant POD isozyme (mw ≈ 58 kD) did not change with treatment, the second most prevalent isozyme (mw ≈ 67 kD) decreased significantly after treatment, then increased as vegetative growth began. PPO activity was inversely related to POD activity. Nir et al. (1986) and Nir and Lavee (1992) found that POD activity increased up to 35% in endodormant grape (Vitis vinifera L.) buds after chilling or applying dormancy-breaking chemicals. With exposure to low temperatures in fall, CAT activity increased initially, then decreased to its lowest rate when the ability to break dormancy was greatest; it later increased as ambient temperatures increased. Dormancy-breaking chemicals or chilling late in dormancy decreased CAT activity by ≈ 50%. Activity generally increased with temperature. In peach buds, CAT activity also decreased until the chilling-induced transition from endodormancy to ecodormancy, then it increased concomitantly with budbreak (Kaminski and Rom, 1974).

Wang and Faust (1988b) have suggested that budbreak is associated with an increased capacity to scavenge free radicals. They suggest that superoxide dismutase converts the superoxide anion (O₂⁻) to free radical to peroxide (H₂O₂), which is then destroyed by CAT. Superoxide dismutase (primarily the molecular form with Cu–Zn as the prosthetic metal) activity increased concomitant with budbreak induced by various growth regulators in paradormant apple (Wang et al., 1991d). As noted above, CAT activity also increases during budbreak in other species. Wang et al. (1991c) suggested that antioxidant systems, such as those that use ascorbate and reduced glutathione (GSH), may remove various free radicals, including O₂⁻ and the hydroxyl radical (OH⁻). They found that TDZ-induced apple budbreak was accompanied by an increased number of reduced compounds, such as GSH, ascorbic acid, and various thiols, and increased activities of ascorbate-free radical reductase, ascorbate peroxidase, dehydroascorbate reductase, and glutathione reductase. Siller-Cepeda et al. (1990, 1992) found that GSH levels increased in endodormant peach buds and cherry (P. mahaleb L.) seeds until the CU requirement was met (December), then decreased during January. Dormancy-breaking hydrogen cyanamide treatments also induced high GSH levels. These data suggest that increased glutathione reductase activity may play an important role in chemical- and CU-induced transitions from endodormancy to ecodormancy.

Wang et al. (1991b) measured the activities of certain enzymes involved in the glycolytic pathway–tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and the pentose–phosphate cycle before and after TDZ-induced budbreak of paradormant apple buds. They observed rapid decreases in activity of two pentose–phosphate cycle enzymes (glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase and 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase) and rapid increases in the glycolytic enzymes glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase and pyruvate kinase and the TCA cycle enzyme isocitrate dehydrogenase. They suggested that glucose-6-phosphate metabolism is shifted from the pentose–phosphate pathway to glycolysis during the breaking of paradormancy; conversely, Nir and Lavee (1992) have proposed that the breaking of endodormancy coincides with pentose–phosphate pathway activation.

Wang and Faust (1988a, 1989a, 1989b) have also documented many rapid changes in contents of sterols and galacto- and phospholipids that accompany TDZ-induced budbreak of paradormant apple buds, a result suggesting rapid membrane alterations. Liu et al. (1991) found that lipase activity increased gradually with chilling in endodormant apple buds; the increase was substantial as the CU requirement was satisfied. Increased activity was coincident with the change from bound to free water in magnetic resonance images, a result suggesting that changes in the membrane lipid composition are related to changes in tissue water status. Both processes coincided with satisfaction of the CU requirement, regardless of whether the requirement was low (≈400 CUs, ‘Anna’) or high (≈2600 CUs, ‘Northern Spy’), a result suggesting a common end-of-chilling and dormancy alleviation response across genotypes.

**Biophysical properties of water in seeds**

Biophysical studies of cellular water are more advanced in dormant seed systems than in dormant bud tissues. During dormancy, seed water content seems to be reduced to levels that limit metabolism and prolong longevity. Vertucci (1989) and Vertucci and Roos (1990) found that specific moisture (and lipid) contents are associated with the onset of respiration, chemical reactions, and accelerated aging rates in seeds. For many species, optimum seed longevity occurred at 19% relative humidity (RH), mitochondrial electron transport was detected at 24% RH, and increased rates of thermal–chemical reactions occurred at 27% RH. From seed metabolism studies, Leopold and Vertucci (1989) concluded that three nutritional levels could be delineated as seed water content (dry-weight basis) increased from ≈ 0% to 8%, 8% to 25%, and > 25%. Nonmetabolic (catabolic) enzymatic and nonenzymatic activities, including nonmitochondrial oxidative reactions only, occurred at the lower hydration levels. Integrated processes, such as mitochondrial electron transport and protein synthesis, occurred only at the third hydration level. Conversion of phytochrome from the far-red to the red light-absorbing form was thought to occur at all hydration levels. Vertucci (1990) further delineated types of seed tissue water based on motional and thermodynamic properties and related them to physiological activity, desiccation tolerance, and the proportions of water that will or will not freeze when subjected to low temperatures. Their specific relationship to structural changes of water or water–associated macromolecules has yet to be determined.

The properties of water in tissues are affected by the macromolecules present and vice versa, particularly in protein and phospholipid systems. Bound water is associated with macromolecular surfaces (interfacial water) and has different thermodynamic and motional properties than free water, the bulk cellular water in the tissue (Vertucci, 1989). The lower the tissue water content, the stronger the association of bound water with macromolecular interfaces. Leopold and Vertucci (1989) described three types of bound water: 1) tightly bound to macromolecules via ionic bonding, 2) weakly bound by condensation over hydrophilic sites on the macromolecule, and 3) bound with negligible energy as a bridge over hydrophobic sites.

Hydration level (and the three types of bound water) also corresponded to red light and temperature perception in dormancy breaking of lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.), apple, and red rice (Oryza sativa L.) seeds (Leopold and Vertucci, 1989). Desiccation increased the responsiveness of the wheat seed to gibberellin, probably by a membrane alteration that changed sensitivity. During stratification, apple seeds became sensitive to temperature at the second hydration water level (Vertucci and Leopold, 1986). Thus, the relationship of tissue moisture content to perception of environmental cues (e.g., activation of regulatory genes) and transduction of corresponding responses (e.g., activation or synthesis of appropriate enzymes) may be critical to integrative seed dormancy studies.

**Biophysical properties of water in buds**

In the study of bud dormancy, the question arises whether tissue water content should be determined concomitantly with other analyses to correlate with specific events during dormancy development and alleviation. Just as Leopold and Vertucci (1989) postulated that desiccation associated with seed maturation might serve as a developmental switch that can change the pattern of subsequent protein synthesis, so might the biophysical influence of bud water status be associated with dormancy-related transitions in gene expression and physiology. Biophysical studies of bud dormancy have focused on applying nuclear magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to apple leaf buds (Faust et al., 1991; Liu et al., 1991). MRI produces characteristic MRI Workshop Vol. 29(11), November 1994
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images for water molecules that exist in various forms. Water that is strongly bound to macromolecules cannot be imaged; water that is less associated with other molecules (free water) is more readily imaged. To some extent, the degree of association can be determined by differences in the relaxation times of excited molecules.

Faust et al. (1991) reported that water in endodormant apple leaf buds cannot be imaged, suggesting a bound state. However, as the CU requirement is satisfied, at least part of the water dissociates from other molecules and becomes visible in bud tissue images. This conversion from bound to free water occurred at the end of CU accumulation (600 and 4000 CU) in low-chilling ‘Anna’ and high-chilling ‘Northern Spy’, respectively. Applying TDZ to partially chilled buds resulted in a similar increase in free water within 24 h (Liu et al., 1991). Paradoxant and ecodormant buds were easily imaged with MRI at any time, suggesting a different physiological dormant status based primarily on free tissue water (Faust et al., 1991). Thus, transition to endodormancy seems to involve marked changes in water properties, as suggested in the seed systems reported above. Rowland et al. (1992) reported similar changes in MRI-imaging of partially and fully chilled blueberry flower buds.

Water content in dormant buds is much higher than that in most dormant seeds. The water content in endodormant apple leaf buds increased (nonsignificantly) from 49% before chilling to 59% after chilling (Faust et al., 1991). Free water was always present in the stem, indicating that the shoot xylem has the ability to conduct free water even when none appears in the bud. Faust et al. (1991) suggested that the water in endodormant buds is primarily in the cell wall matrix, while water in ecodormant or paradoxont buds is primarily intracellular. The presence of free water in the stem bark, cambial layer, and youngest xylem during the winter suggests that these tissues may never actually be endodormant.

Vertucci and Stushnoff (1992) recently used differential scanning calorimetry to study dormant vegetative apple buds. They reported that water binding was greatest when tissues were most acclimated to low temperatures. Low-temperature injury seemed to be related to damage by desiccation. These results potentially conflict with the MRI interpretations above, which suggest that MRI bound water is found only in endodormant, not paradoxant or ecodormant, buds. In cold climates, maximum hardness (implying maximum bound water) may occur after satisfying the CU requirement (i.e., ecodormancy).

In seed tissues, Leopold and Vertucci (1989) have found that thermodynamically (vs. MRI) bound water is not readily freezeable. The relationship of MRI bound water to the types of bound water determined by thermodynamic and motional studies is not yet clear. Likewise, the potential relationship of any type of bound water to proteins associated with desiccation tolerance (Curry et al., 1991; Guy, 1990a; Leopold and Vertucci, 1989) has yet to be examined fully. Morris et al. (1991) have suggested that very hydrophilic proteins in dormant seeds may sequester water, resulting in extremely low water activities (Guy 1990a). A similar case might be postulated for the dehydrin-type protein (Arora et al., 1992) found in endodormant peach buds (and other tissues). The biophysical question of precisely how dormant seeds may sequester water, resulting in extremely low water activities (Guy 1990a). A similar case might be postulated for the dehydrin-type protein (Arora et al., 1992) found in endodormant peach buds (and other tissues). The biophysical question of precisely how water binding was greatest when tissues were most acclimated to low temperatures. Low-temperature injury seemed to be related to damage by desiccation. These results potentially conflict with the MRI interpretations above, which suggest that MRI bound water is found only in endodormant, not paradoxant or ecodormant, buds. In cold climates, maximum hardness (implying maximum bound water) may occur after satisfying the CU requirement (i.e., ecodormancy).

In seed tissues, Leopold and Vertucci (1989) have found that thermodynamically (vs. MRI) bound water is not readily freezeable. The relationship of MRI bound water to the types of bound water determined by thermodynamic and motional studies is not yet clear. Likewise, the potential relationship of any type of bound water to proteins associated with desiccation tolerance (Curry et al., 1991; Guy, 1990a; Leopold and Vertucci, 1989) has yet to be examined fully. Morris et al. (1991) have suggested that very hydrophilic proteins in dormant seeds may sequester water, resulting in extremely low water activities (Guy 1990a). A similar case might be postulated for the dehydrin-type protein (Arora et al., 1992) found in endodormant peach buds (and other tissues). The biophysical question of precisely how cold acclimation and dormancy are related still remains. However, as new experimental evidence accumulates for each phenomenon, it seems that a thorough understanding of one may not be possible without a similar understanding of the other.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

Dormancy in plants remains an enigma, with our understanding of bud endodormancy advancing particularly slowly, a likely victim of the research difficulties inherent in plant systems that exhibit endodormancy. However, new information pertinent to dormancy has increased rapidly with advances in molecular biology and biophysical analysis technology. These advances can and should be used by physiologists and geneticists to understand important phenomena in horticultural plant systems. Complementary DNA libraries developed in Arabidopsis and other basic research-friendly systems can and should be used to probe the physiology and genomes of agriculturally important plants, particularly to examine similarities in hormone- and environmental-stress-inducible responses.

Two promising areas of molecular research into dormancy phenomena are 1) defining functions for the proteins encoded by genes that respond to dormancy-related chilling accumulation or dormancy-breaking chemical treatments and 2) studying the regulatory mechanisms of such genes by signals (environmental and hormonal), i.e., signal-transduction systems. Identifying and characterizing specific genes that encode regulatory processes will improve our understanding of the physiology of dormancy and its genetic manipulation. The molecular aspects of plant response to stress or hormones, as Skriver and Mundy (1990) have noted, will have to be integrated with signal-transduction biochemistry before the picture is complete. Such signals probably involve secondary messengers, such as Ca2+ and phosphotidyl-inositol metabolism, and are tied to changes in gene expression via protein phosphorylation. Future research needs to focus on the interface of genetic and environmental transduction systems associated with seed and bud dormancy.

Changes in enzyme activities and isozymes during endodormancy have yet to be clearly related to mechanisms or consequences of budbreak; molecular studies may help differentiate cause and effect. The heritability of cold acclimation and endodormancy CU requirements suggests that these phenomena are clearly tied to genetics, although control is probably multigenic. Molecular studies may help separate them and lead to an understanding of how they overlap. From a mechanistic point of view, links between dormancy and cold acclimation may include biochemical components that involve gene expression or enzyme activation and biophysical components that involve membrane conformation or altered hydration properties.

Could integrating the research areas discussed above lead to a better understanding of how chilling temperatures accumulate, ultimately acting as a transducible switch in ontogeny? How the alleviation of endodormancy is manifested more efficiently by cyclic temperature regimes (Erez and Couvillon, 1987)? How excessive chilling exposure reduces the growing-degree hours required for budbreak (NeSmith and Bridges, 1992; Scalabrelli and Couvillon, 1986)? Recognition of the differences between agroclimatological models and genomic, biochemical, and biophysical events will be a significant challenge and a necessary future step in advancing dormancy physiology and dormancy modeling.
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